

RECEIVED
Charlotte, NC

DEC 15 2015

Clerk, US District Court
Western District NC

Canada Xpresspost Tracking

EG 024 432 045 CA

c/o Sandra Gavel
PO Box 2195
Sechelt BC [V0N 3A0]
Canada

Frank Johns, Clerk of Court
United States District Court
Western District of North Carolina
401 W. Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

3:14cv 444

Dear Frank,

The following is for your immediate attention. You are hereby noticed of what I believe to be fraudulent process. I believe that you will be liable if you continue to proceed, for the following reasons and facts:

Fraudulent/Non-Existent Court Name and Undefined Status of Respondent

According to United States Code, Title 28, the lawful name and style of the district court is "United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina".

The court documents filed in this matter do not comply with the law and are varied between documents. Each change appears to create a different court of unknown legal status when not using the proper name and style defined by law:

- "IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION",
- "UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA",
- "UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Western District of North Carolina",
- "U.S. District Court Western District of North Carolina (Charlotte)"

These names and styles do not appear to exist in law anywhere. If you claim they do exist as lawful courts then please provide evidence of such to me.

Also, the discrepancy/change in the entire style of cause used in the various court documents creates confusion and ambiguity, affects the substance of the claim and appears misleading and therefore

requires complete clarification and full disclosure of the intended legal nature and intended legal characteristic of the parties and the court.

What is the reason and legal/lawful meaning of the different style of cause in use? It appears to be an attempt to mislead and change the legal substance of the court and parties.

I understand the use of a false style of cause to mean change of jurisdiction out of common law court into some other undeclared jurisdiction, a loss of private status of a man or woman, and therefore diminution of private rights, all of which are not-consented to and do cause harm to a private man or woman.

I do not consent to the changing of jurisdiction out of common law, nor a loss of status, nor a diminution of private rights.

I believe this to be an attempt by fraud to steal private property.

Failure to Acknowledge Response and No Notice

Additionally, the documents relating to the "Certification of Initial Attorney's Conference – *Bell v. Parker et al.* No.: 3:14-cv-00444-GCM" mailed to me on July 09, 2015 are further confusing and flawed because I did not receive the invitation in time to attend the conference, and I have proof of the FedEx delivery.

In reference to the Initial Attorney's Conference held on January 26, 2015 at 1:00 PM Eastern,

- 1) Matthew Orso of McGuireWoods LLP sent me an invitation to attend the conference being held on January 26, 2015 at 1:00 PM Eastern, mailed via FedEx with Tracking Number 7726 6124 8588.
- 2) Proof of service shows it was delivered to me on Monday, January 26, 2015 at 08:13 PM Eastern, which was 7 hours and 13 minutes after the call time.
- 3) Marnette Bell of McGuireWoods LLP then sent me notification that Irving Brenner filed with the court the "Certification of Initial Attorney's Conference", held without my participation.
- 4) I have not been able to lawfully defend myself.

I mailed additional correspondence to the Clerk of Court and Attorneys for the Receiver, all of whom have failed to respond to my questions and request for clarification as to why they have collectively proceeded with what I believe to be a fraudulent process. They were each informed that I objected to all items of the "Certification of Initial Attorney's Conference" since I was not properly notified in time to attend.

Additional mailing details and proof of service:

- 1) Frank Johns, Clerk of Court: Canada Xpresspost, Tracking EG029281404CA, mailed August 10, 2015 at 12:02 PM, delivered August 17, 2015 at 10:26 AM – Frank Johns failed to respond.

- 2) Irving Brenner, Attorney for Receiver: Canada Xpresspost, Tracking EG002218408CA, mailed August 10, 2015 at 11:54 AM, delivered August 17, 2015 at 11:18 AM – Irving Brenner failed to respond.
- 3) Matthew Orso, Attorney for Receiver: Canada Xpresspost, Tracking EG002218408CA, mailed August 10, 2015 at 11:54 AM, Delivered August 17, 2015 at 11:18 AM – Matthew Orso failed to respond.

In each instance above, proof of service supports that my correspondence was successfully delivered to the court and attorney office. If they were handled by court registry staff or attorney office staff and did not reach the addressed recipients, then there seems to be a problem that you need to resolve, because I am now being harmed by it.

In addition, you have identified the capacity that Kenneth Bell is coming in as, in these proceedings, but you have not identified the capacity of the respondent.

What is the legal nature and legal capacity of the defendant that is intended in this matter?

I am not assuming anything, so please clearly specify for me:

- 1) Is this claim intended to be against Sandra Gavel in her private capacity?
- 2) Is this claim intended to be against Sandra Gavel in some representative capacity?
- 3) Is this claim intended to be against Sandra Gavel, in the capacity of a trustee of an undeclared trust?
- 4) Is this claim intended to be against Sandra Gavel, in the capacity of a beneficiary of an undeclared trust?
- 5) Is this claim intended to be against Sandra Gavel, in the capacity of an agent of an undeclared business or organization?

In the interest of full disclosure, fundamental justice, natural justice, equity and the right to a fair hearing, it is imperative that this issue be specifically defined.

For the record:

1. I do not know, nor have I ever done business, nor currently do business, in any capacity, with Kenneth Bell, Matthew Orso, Irving Brenner, Frank Johns, Graham Mullen, Marnette Belle or Gowlings.
2. I am not aware of any instance where I violated the soul, intent, or spirit of the law in relation to this claim.
3. I believe there is a conflict between law and equity in this matter and where this is a conflict between law and equity, equity prevails.

4. I invoke and seek equity.


I believe you will be liable if you proceed with this matter.-

Show cause why this matter should not be withdrawn for being defective, misleading, intended to deceive and without standing to continue and require you to note for the record:

1. I do not consent to contract in the unrecognized at law court that you filed this matter in,
2. If you have a lawfully valid claim with a harmed man or woman in a lawful common law court, and if you wish to bring me into a lawful court, I will accept and participate in a common law court process if it is a court where common law and equity is heard and recognized,
3. If you are trying to take me to a court other than common law and equity, I do not consent,
4. If you continue to pursue this matter which appears to be fraudulent then I will be compelled to file a formal complaint against you as Clerk, defined in 28 USC 751.

You have 3 days to contact me and enter into good faith discussions and address these concerns.

I trust you will act with good reason, good conscience, and in good faith.



Sandra Gavel, private person
Date = December 07, A.D. 2015